International Journal of Applied Economics, Banking and Management (IJAEBM)
Email: arsypersadaquality@gmail.com
Https://ejournalarsypersada.com/index.php/ajaebm.

CIRCULAR ECONOMY POLICY IN THE PLANNING OF ENVIRONMENTALLY FRIENDLY CITIES

Ataina Zulfa Nasution[1], Nur Aisyah Tanjung[2], Aldyansah Arrahman Rianto[3], Sunan Agung Nugroho[4], Pebriansyah Siregar[5]

[1,2,3,4,5]Universitas Islam Negeri Sumatera Utara

: ataina1100000168@uinsu.ac.id, nur423375@gmail.com, aldyansah103@gmail.com, agungnugrohosunan@gmail.com, siregarpebriansyah@gmail.com

Abstract : *Circular economy policy has become a strategic approach in developing environmentally friendly, sustainable, and resource-efficient cities. In the context of rapid urbanization, cities face increasing challenges such as high waste generation, intensive energy consumption, and environmental degradation. The circular economy introduces principles of reducing, reusing, and recycling resources to minimize waste and lower ecological impacts. This study aims to analyze how circular economy policies are implemented in urban development planning in Indonesia, particularly in areas such as waste management, green infrastructure design, technological innovation, and community participation. The study also highlights challenges faced by local governments, including the lack of specific regulations, limited funding, and low public awareness. The research method employs a literature review of policy documents, scientific journals, and government reports related to sustainable development. The findings indicate that integrating circular economy principles into city planning can enhance environmental efficiency, promote green economic innovation, and strengthen collaboration between government, private sectors, and communities. Therefore, adopting circular economy policies is essential for accelerating the transformation toward more resilient, sustainable, and environmentally friendly cities.*

Keywords: *circular economy, urban development, environment, public policy, sustainability*

Submitted:September ; Revised:Oktober ; Accepted: November

INTRODUCTION

The concept of a circular economy has gained increasing attention as urban areas across the world confront growing environmental pressures, ranging from escalating waste production to rapid resource depletion. In the context of sustainable city planning, circular economy policies provide a foundational framework for reducing reliance on linear systems of extraction, consumption, and disposal. Environmentally friendly cities require urban planning models that not only minimize waste but also promote resource regeneration, energy efficiency, and material lifecycle extension. Indonesia, as a developing nation with rapidly expanding urban centers, faces numerous challenges in integrating circular principles into its planning mechanisms. However, incorporating circular policies into city development is essential for achieving national sustainability goals, improving environmental resilience, and aligning with global initiatives such as the Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs). These advancements demand strong institutional commitment, comprehensive regulations, and a shared understanding of long-term ecological benefits (Fasa & W.H., 2021).

Public awareness plays an essential role in shaping the success of circular economy–based urban policies, particularly in nations where environmental literacy and behavioral adaptation remain limited. Many communities still rely heavily on single-use products, lack access to structured recycling programs, and possess minimal understanding of resource-efficiency practices. Digital data tools, including online search trend analysis, offer new opportunities to measure public engagement and map interest patterns related to sustainability topics. In Indonesia, an upward trend in circular economy–related searches suggests growing curiosity, although practical knowledge and adoption are still uneven among regions. Strengthening awareness is therefore crucial for driving behavioral change and facilitating community participation in environmentally responsible urban initiatives. Government agencies, educators, and civil society organizations must work collaboratively to enhance public understanding through targeted campaigns, local outreach, and inclusive communication strategies. When awareness grows, cities gain stronger foundations for implementing sustainable circular policies (Geusan Akbar, 2024).

Circular economy frameworks offer significant potential to support sustainable development, particularly in emerging economies experiencing rapid urban expansion and environmental strain. Through systems emphasizing reuse, recycling, and resource regeneration, circular models help reduce ecological footprints while enhancing economic efficiency. In Indonesia, interest in circular economy applications has increased, especially in areas related to waste management, industrial processes, and urban infrastructure improvement. Yet, the implementation of circular principles faces challenges such as fragmented regulations, limited local government capacity, and insufficient inter-agency coordination. To ensure effective integration, circular concepts must be embedded into long-term urban development strategies, regulatory instruments, and institutional planning. Partnerships between government bodies, private industries, and local communities are also essential for fostering resilient urban systems capable of adapting to environmental pressures. When effectively implemented, circular approaches create opportunities for greener economic growth and more sustainable city environments for future generations (Irawan & Marita, 2024).

Waste management stands at the core of circular economy policy development, particularly in Indonesia's urban regions, where population growth continues to increase waste volumes and strain existing facilities. Transitioning from traditional waste-disposal methods to circular approaches requires innovations such as waste-to-resource processing, community-based recycling initiatives, and digital monitoring technologies. These methods not only improve waste-handling efficiency but also support broader sustainability goals, including emission reduction and resource conservation. Local case studies demonstrate that participatory governance, where citizens are actively involved in waste sorting and recycling activities, significantly enhances policy outcomes. Urban planning strategies must therefore build infrastructures and regulatory environments that enable waste materials to circulate back into productive use. Such circular waste systems strengthen environmental quality, promote economic opportunities, and reduce dependency on landfill sites that contribute to ecological degradation, providing a vital foundation for environmentally friendly city planning (Lintong & Pangkey, 2025).

Building circular cities requires integrated planning that aligns environmental technologies, public governance, infrastructure development, and socio-economic systems. Many Indonesian cities continue to face challenges such as funding limitations, outdated waste-treatment facilities, inadequate enforcement, and fragmented policy frameworks. Despite these constraints, several emerging urban centers have begun implementing circular initiatives, including eco-industrial collaborations, decentralized composting systems, and digitalized waste-tracking platforms. These examples show that gradual circular transformations are achievable, especially when supported by strong political will, institutional coordination, and stakeholder commitment. Embedding circular principles into transportation systems, housing projects, and commercial development can reduce environmental impacts while improving resource efficiency and supporting sustainable economic growth. As cities experiment with innovative policies, they contribute to national efforts toward low-carbon development and environmental resilience, highlighting the importance of city-level circular transitions in shaping Indonesia's broader sustainability agenda (Pribadi, 2025).

Circular economy policies are essential for addressing persistent environmental issues in Indonesia, including plastic pollution, inefficient resource consumption, and increasing carbon emissions. Rapid urbanization exacerbates these challenges, particularly in large metropolitan areas where waste-management systems are often overwhelmed. Integrating circular economy principles into urban planning creates opportunities to restore environmental quality, reduce pollution, and promote more responsible consumption patterns. International comparisons demonstrate that successful circular transitions rely on continuous innovation, consistent legal frameworks, and active community participation. For Indonesia, adopting global best practices while tailoring them to local contexts is vital for ensuring effective implementation. Strengthening educational programs, regulatory reforms, and public-private partnerships will accelerate the shift toward circular urban systems. These efforts support environmentally friendly city development that prioritizes resilience, sustainability, and long-term ecological balance for future urban generations (Subekti, 2023).

LITERATURE REVIEW

The theoretical foundation of circular economy implementation in environmentally friendly cities is rooted in sustainability science, regenerative urban development, and systems-based resource management. Circular economy concepts emphasize minimizing waste, extending product life cycles, and promoting the continual use of materials through reuse, repair, and recycling. Within urban contexts, these principles support the integration of green infrastructure, eco-efficient technologies, and low-carbon planning strategies. Theoretical models also highlight the importance of collaborative governance, where policymakers, industries, and communities work together to reshape production and consumption patterns. Byapplying these theories, cities transition from linear resource flows toward restorative systems that strengthen environmental resilience and long-term urban sustainability.

1. Concept of Circular Economy

The circular economy represents a transformative paradigm that shifts economic systems away from the linear model of take–make–dispose toward one centered on resource efficiency, regeneration, and long-term sustainability. Its foundations lie in minimizing waste through strategies such as recycling, reusing, remanufacturing, and reducing material consumption at every stage of the production cycle. This concept has gained global recognition because it aligns ecological protection with economic advancement, enabling nations to reduce dependence on virgin resources while stimulating innovation in green technologies. In urban contexts, the circular economy provides a framework for cities to design integrated systems that reduce environmental degradation and enhance economic resilience. By embedding circular principles into urban planning, policymakers can promote sustainable consumption behaviors, encourage eco-friendly industries, and ensure more efficient infrastructure systems that reduce ecological footprints (Fasa, 2021).

In developing countries such as Indonesia, the adoption of circular economy principles serves as a strategic response to rapid urbanization and increasing environmental pressures. Urban areas experience high concentrations of consumption, waste generation, and resource depletion, making circular strategies

essential for maintaining ecological balance. The circular economy concept also supports sustainable development goals, particularly those related to responsible consumption, climate action, and ecosystem preservation. Cities that shift toward circularity experience long-term benefits such as job creation in recycling industries, reduced energy use, and improved environmental health. Moreover, the circular economy introduces new collaboration models between governments, the private sector, and communities, ensuring that sustainability becomes a shared responsibility rather than a sector-specific initiative. This collective approach strengthens localenvironmental governance and enhances long-term urban resilience (Geusan Akbar, 2024).

2. Circular Economy in Urban Planning

Integrating circular economy principles into urban planning helps cities design infrastructures that reduce waste, optimize resource flows, and support environmentally friendly development. Circular urban planning encourages the creation of closed-loop systems where waste materials re-enter the production cycle instead of being disposed of in landfills. This approach transforms cities into regenerative spaces capable of sustaining population growth without undermining natural ecosystems. Urban planners use circular frameworks to redesign public facilities, transportation systems, and housing developments with energy efficiency and environmental protection in mind. Circular strategies also emphasize nature-based solutions such as green buildings, eco-friendly drainage systems, and community composting facilities, all of which reduce pollution and enhance urban livability (Irawan & Marita, 2024).

Government policies play a crucial role in ensuring that circular principles become embedded into long-term urban planning schemes. Policies focused on waste reduction, renewable energy adoption, and sustainable land use are central to creating circular urban environments. Local governments must also adopt regulatory systems that encourage industries to innovate in environmentally friendly production processes. Moreover, public education is needed so that communities understand and participate in sustainable urban behaviors. When circular principles are included in spatial planning regulations, environmental risks can be minimized while economic opportunities increase. Cities adopting circular planning frameworks often experience improved air quality, reduced waste volumes, and stronger green

economic development, making circular urban planning a vital component of future-proof urban strategies (Lintong, Pangkey & Runtuwene, 2025).

3. Waste Management as a Foundation for Circular Cities

Waste management forms the backbone of circular urban systems because it ensures that materials can be reused, recycled, or repurposed rather than ending up as pollution. Effective waste-management frameworks rely on separation at source, advanced recycling technologies, and supportive policies that encourage sustainable practices among industries and households. Cities that implement integrated waste-management systems benefit from reduced landfill dependency and improved public health. The shift toward circular waste-management systems also stimulates innovations in material recovery facilities and energy conversion technologies. By redesigning waste flows, cities can reduce greenhouse gas emissions and conserve valuable resources, strengthening their commitment to sustainable urban development (Sutrisna Wijaya Putra, Dewi & Maharani, 2025).

In Indonesia, waste management remains a major challenge due to population density, rapid consumption growth, and limited waste-processing infrastructure. Circular waste policies encourage the transformation of waste into valuable products through recycling industries, composting programs, and upcycling initiatives. Local governments play an essential role in promoting community participation, enforcing waste regulations, and developing partnerships with private waste-management companies. Circular waste-management practices not only reduce environmental burdens but also support local economic growth by generating employment opportunities and creating new markets for recycled materials. By strengthening waste governance and improving infrastructure, Indonesian cities can move closer to achieving their goals of becoming environmentally friendly and circular-oriented urban spaces (Tri Utomo, Ekayani & Abidin, 2025).

4. Policy and Governance in Circular Economy Implementation

Strong governance is required to ensure that circular economy strategies become an integral part of urban development. Government policies must establish clear guidelines for resource use, waste management, and environmental protection.

Effective policies include incentives for green business practices, regulations on waste reduction, and frameworks for monitoring environmental performance. Publicagencies must also collaborate with private industries, research institutions, and community organizations to create inclusive and participatory governance systems. When policy frameworks are aligned with sustainability goals, cities can accelerate the transition toward circular economy models that are economically viable and environmentally responsible (Subekti, 2023).

International experiences demonstrate that cities with successful circular transitions rely heavily on consistent policies, strong enforcement, and well-coordinated institutional arrangements. For Indonesia, adopting these global best practices requires strengthening legal frameworks, addressing funding limitations, and improving cross-sector collaboration. Policymakers must also focus on raising public awareness, developing capacity-building programs, and supporting local innovations in green technologies. By reinforcing governance structures, Indonesian cities can effectively implement circular strategies that reduce environmental risks and enhance resilience. Comprehensive policy development ensures that circular economy principles are not only conceptual frameworks but operational strategies embedded in everyday urban management and long-term development planning (Pribadi, 2025).

5. Circular Economy for Sustainable and Environmentally Friendly Cities

Circular economy principles contribute significantly to the development of sustainable cities by promoting efficient resource use and reducing ecological pressures. These principles encourage cleaner production practices, lower pollution levels, and enhance ecosystem health. Cities that adopt circular strategies often witness improvements in air and water quality, increased green spaces, and reduced environmental degradation. Moreover, circular systems support low-carbon development goals through waste-to-energy technologies, renewable energy adoption, and energy-efficient urban designs. These transformations position cities as environmentally responsible spaces capable of supporting long-term human well-being while preserving ecological integrity (Waluyo & Kharisma, 2023).

In Indonesia, the movement toward circular-oriented urban systems aligns with national sustainable development targets and environmental protection policies. Urban areas adopting circular strategies demonstrate better resilience to climate change impacts, higher economic efficiency, and stronger community participation in environmental initiatives. The integration of circular principles into city planning allows local governments to address emerging challenges such as resource scarcity, waste accumulation, and urban pollution. Evidence shows that circular systems greatly benefit rapidly urbanizing countries by improving environmental performance and accelerating green economic growth. Thus, the circular economy framework becomes a strategic foundation for designing environmentally friendly cities built on sustainability, resilience, and ecological harmony (Wikurendra, 2024).

METHODOLOGY

This Research Method uses a qualitative-descriptive approach supported by an extensive literature review to analyze the implementation of circular economy policies in environmentally friendly urban development. This method focuses on identifying patterns, concepts, and policy directions related to circular initiatives in Indonesian cities. The research systematically reviews academic journals, policy briefs, governmental publications, and international frameworks such as UN-Habitat and OECD guidelines on circular urban systems. Through document analysis, the study evaluates how circular principles—such as waste reduction, material recovery, eco-design, and low-carbon strategies—are integrated into planning processes. The method also examines institutional arrangements, stakeholder involvement, and governance mechanisms that influence policy adoption. By synthesizing theoretical and empirical findings, the research aims to construct a comprehensive understanding of the opportunities and limitations associated with implementing circular economy concepts in urban planning.

This Research Method further incorporates comparative analysis to evaluate differences in circular economy adoption across various Indonesian cities and benchmark them against successful international cases. The comparison highlights policy effectiveness, institutional readiness, technological innovation, and

community engagement as important indicators of circular transition. Data were collected from peer-reviewed publications, urban sustainability reports, governmental regulations, and academic case studies published between 2019 and 2025. These sources were analyzed using thematic coding to categorize key concepts such as regulatory frameworks, waste-management models, and green infrastructure development. The method enables the identification of recurring challenges, including limited financial support, fragmented policy enforcement, and low levels of public awareness. Overall, the approach provides a structured foundation for understanding how circular economy strategies are applied in practice and how they can strengthen environmentally friendly city planning.

RESEARCH RESULTS AND DISSCUSION

The results indicate that circular economy integration has significantly influenced how cities conceptualize environmentally friendly development. Instead of relying on traditional waste-management models, many city planning frameworks now emphasize resource recovery, eco-design, and community-based environmental programs. Urban initiatives increasingly incorporate waste sorting centers, digital tracking for material flows, public education campaigns, and the expansion of green infrastructure such as urban parks and water-management systems. These findings demonstrate a shift toward urban systems that reduce environmental pressure while enhancing ecological regeneration, social participation, and resource efficiency. The adoption of circular principles has also encouraged collaboration between local governments, private enterprises, and non-governmental organizations in developing sustainable urban solutions.

However, the discussion reveals that circular implementation still faces structural, technological, and behavioral challenges. Limited regulatory consistency, insufficient funding, and low societal awareness hinder the adoption of circular processes in many regions. Cities with rapid population growth often struggle to balance increasing waste generation with the capacity of recycling and recovery facilities. Nonetheless, several opportunities have emerged, including the advancement of green innovation, the adoption of digital applications for monitoring waste, and the expansion of public-private partnerships. These opportunities provide

strategic pathways for strengthening circular transitions and ensuring that cities become more resilient, efficient, and environmentally responsible in the long term.

DISCUSSION

Table 1. Circular Economy Policy Components in Urban Planning

No	Policy Component	Description	Implication for Urban Development
1	Waste Reduction Strategy	Emphasis on reducing waste at source	Supports sustainable city design
2	Resource Efficiency	Promotes optimal use of materials	Enhances environmental performance
3	Green Infrastructure	Encourages eco-based facilities	Strengthens ecological resilience
4	Regulatory Framework	Provides legal support for circularity	Ensures consistency in planning
5	Community Participation	Engages residents in circular actions	Improves environmental awareness

Explanation :

The findings reveal that circular economy policy components embedded in urban planning demonstrate a strategic shift toward more sustainable and environmentally conscious development patterns. The inclusion of waste-reduction strategies as a core element indicates that cities are prioritizing upstream solutions rather than relying solely on end-of-pipe methods. This approach enables local authorities to design urban systems that minimize waste production, promote behavioral change among residents, and encourage industries to adopt cleaner production practices. Similarly, the emphasis on resource efficiency shows that circular principles push cities to utilize materials more intelligently, reduce dependency on virgin resources, and integrate green innovation into the urban development process. The presence of green infrastructure as a policy component highlights its role in supporting biodiversity, managing urban heat, and improving

ecological functions essential for resilient city growth. These elements collectively illustrate a holistic and forward-looking urban governance framework.

The policy components identified also demonstrate strong implications for future urban development models, particularly in sustainability-driven cities. A solid regulatory framework ensures that circular actions are not merely voluntary initiatives but are enforced through formal institutional mechanisms that create consistency and accountability. This legal support encourages long-term adoption of circular practices and reduces fragmentation in policy execution. Additionally, community participation represents a vital component because it aligns societal behavior with environmental objectives, fostering shared responsibility within the city. As communities engage in waste separation, recycling, composting, and eco-friendly consumption practices, the city can achieve more substantial environmental improvements. Overall, the combination of policy design, regulatory strength, and social engagement supports the transformation of traditional urban systems into circular-oriented environments capable of addressing climate challenges and resource limitations while promoting sustainability and livability.

Table 2. Circular Economy Implementation in Waste Management

No	Implementation Aspect	Description	Environmental Contribution
1	Waste Segregation	Categorizing waste at source	Reduces contamination in recycling
2	Recycling Facilities	Infrastructure for material recovery	Enhances resource circulation
3	Composting Systems	Processing organic waste	Reduces landfill burden
4	Upcycling Initiatives	Converting waste to higher-value products	Encourages innovation
5	Public Education	Socialization of waste practices	Increases citizen participation

Explanation :

Results show that waste management serves as the operational foundation of circular economy implementation in environmentally friendly cities. Waste segregation appears as a primary mechanism because it determines the quality and efficiency of the waste-processing chain. When waste is properly separated at its source, contamination decreases significantly, allowing recycling facilities to function more effectively. Recycling facilities, as identified in the findings, play a central role in recovering materials for reuse, thus reducing dependence on new raw materials. Meanwhile, composting systems address the problem of organic waste, which often represents a large portion of municipal waste streams. These systems reduce landfill volume, lower greenhouse gas emissions, and generate beneficial soil products for urban agriculture. Upcycling initiatives further strengthen circularity by transforming waste into value-added products, which promotes creativity and opens new economic opportunities aligned with environmental objectives.

The study also highlights the crucial role of public education in reinforcing circular waste-management practices. Effective public communication campaigns help cultivate a culture of sustainability, motivating communities to participate actively in waste segregation, recycling, and composting programs. This social involvement not only improves waste-processing outcomes but also enhances environmental awareness and long-term commitment toward circular behaviors. The findings illustrate that successful circular waste management is not solely dependent on physical infrastructure but also on social readiness and behavioral transformation. Environmental contributions from these initiatives include reductions in pollution, conservation of natural resources, and improved ecological conditions in urban areas. The combination of technological solutions, supportive policies, and engaged communities creates an integrated system capable of sustaining circularity. As a result, cities that prioritize these waste-management aspects are better positioned to transition into low-carbon, resource-efficient, and environmentally friendly urban environments.

Table 3. Governance and Institutional Support for Circular Policy

No	Governance Aspect	Description	Impact on Policy Execution
1	Regulatory Clarity	Clear rules and guidelines	Ensures aligned implementation
2	Institutional Coordination	Collaboration among agencies	Reduces policy fragmentation
3	Funding Mechanisms	Financial support and incentives	Accelerates circular programs
4	Monitoring Systems	Evaluation of policy outcomes	Improves accountability
5	Stakeholder Engagement	Inclusion of private and civic sectors	Strengthens policy adoption

Explanation :

Findings show that successful circular economy implementation depends heavily on strong governance structures supported by clear regulatory frameworks. Regulatory clarity ensures that circular principles are interpreted consistently across institutions and applied uniformly during urban planning processes. Institutional coordination is another key finding, as collaboration among governmental agencies minimizes bureaucratic barriers and promotes efficient resource allocation. When agencies work cohesively, circular programs can be executed more effectively, reducing delays and improving overall policy performance. Funding mechanisms also emerge as a crucial support system, enabling cities to develop infrastructure, support private sector innovation, and sustain long-term circular initiatives. Without adequate funding, circular programs often struggle to progress beyond pilot phases, limiting their real impact on urban environments.

Monitoring systems play a significant role in ensuring that circular economy policies deliver measurable and tangible outcomes. These systems allow governments to track performance indicators, identify gaps, and make necessary adjustments to improve implementation. In addition, stakeholder engagement—including private

companies, NGOs, and community groups—helps strengthen policy acceptance and ensures that circular initiatives address local needs. The findings emphasize that inclusive governance enhances policy credibility and supports wider adoption. Through shared responsibility, various sectors can contribute expertise, resources, and innovation, thus broadening the scope and effectiveness of circular programs. Ultimately, strong governance combined with multi-stakeholder collaboration facilitates a stable environment where circular economy policies can thrive and deliver long-term environmental and economic benefits.

CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS

This conclusion emphasizes that integrating circular economy principles into urban planning is essential for building environmentally friendly, resilient, and sustainable cities. The findings show that circular approaches can reduce waste, improve resource efficiency, and enhance ecological balance when supported by strong governance and community participation. Although challenges such as limited institutional capacity and inconsistent policy enforcement persist, the increasing development of green technologies and cross-sector collaboration provides promising opportunities for improvement. Overall, circular economy adoption represents a transformative pathway that enables cities to move beyond linear consumption models and embrace regenerative systems that support long-term environmental well-being.

BIBLIOGRAPHY

Fasa, A. W. H. (2021). *Legal aspects and policies of the Indonesian government regarding the circular economy in order to achieve sustainable development goals. Rechtsvinding: Journal of National Legal Development,* 10(3), 339–357. https://dx.doi.org/10.33331/rechtsvinding.v10i3.774

Geusan Akbar, G. (2024). *Assessing public awareness of circular economy in Indonesia: Insights from Google Trends data.* Sinomics Journal, 3(3), 907–922. https://sinomicsjournal.com/index.php/SJ/article/view/359

Irawan, D., Marita, A. C., & Nurcahyaningtyas. (2024). *The circular economy approach as a sustainable development strategy in Indonesia. e-Journal of Resource and Environmental Economics,* 13(3), 117–130. https://online-journal.unja.ac.id/JSEL/article/view/28294

Lintong, E. H., Pangkey, D. A. P. J., & Runtuwene, H. C. M. (2025). *Circular economy–based waste management in Tomohon City: Toward a green economy through innovative and participatory approaches.* Santhet, 9(4), 1503–1514. https://doi.org/10.36526/santhet.v9i4.5845

Pribadi, D. O. (2025). *Developing circular cities in emerging economies: Case studies from Indonesian cities.* https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cities.2025.105816

Subekti, R. (2023). *A circular economy–based plastic waste management policy in Indonesia (compared to China and EU).* Yustisia: Journal of Law,12(2), 168–184. https://doi.org/10.20961/yustisia.v12i2.72177

Sutrisna Wijaya Putra, A. A. G., Dewi, N. L. P. M., & Maharani, S. E. (2025). *Tantangan Implementation of a circular economy in urban waste management (case study: Denpasar City). Ecocentrism Journal*, 5(2), 82–92. https://doi.org/10.36733/jeco.v5i2.12813

Tri Utomo, E., Ekayani, M., & Abidin, Z. (2025). *Optimizing waste management for circular economy and low-carbon development: A case study of Depok City, Indonesia.* Journal of Natural Resources and Environmental Management (JPSL). https://doi.org/10.29244/jpsl.15.5.833

Waluyo, & Kharisma, D. B. (2023). *Circular economy and food waste problems in Indonesia: Lessons from the policies of leading countries.* Cogent Social Sciences, 9(1), Article 2202938. https://doi.org/10.1080/23311886.2023.2202938

Wikurendra, E. A. (2024). *Urbanization and the benefit of integrating circular economy into waste management in Indonesia: A critical review*